

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/901,421	07/09/2001	Michael Barclay	2000.053700/TT4043	7362	
23720 7:	590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON			MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11000101, 1			2131		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/200	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A C C N -	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/901,421	BARCLAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this committee is a	Aravind K. Moorthy	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE!	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	o <u>ril 2006</u> .					
,—	, -					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/901,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 13 April 2006.

2. Claims 1-25 are pending in the application.

3. Claims 1-25 have been rejected.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-8, 10-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rohrl et al U.S. Patent No. 6,353,776 B1.

As to claim 1, Rohrl et al discloses a method for authorizing a user terminal to communicate with a base station in a communication system, the user terminal including a transmitter for transmitting information to the base station, the method comprising:

determining if an authorization signal has been received at the user terminal within a specified period of time for the transmission of the authorization signal, the authorization signal authorizing the user terminal to communicate with the base station [column 9, lines 11-26]; and

disabling the transmitter of the user terminal providing that the authorization signal has not been received within the specified period of time [column 9, lines 39-48].

As to claims 2, 10 and 19, Rohrl et al discloses the method further comprising:

re-enabling the transmitter of the user terminal upon receipt of the authorization signal [column 9 line 66 to column 10 line 37].

As to claims 3, 11 and 20, Rohrl et al discloses that determining if an authorization signal has been received at the user terminal within a specified period of time further comprises:

starting a timer to count for the specified period of time [column 9, lines 11-26].

determining if the authorization signal has been received at the user terminal prior to the timer expiring at the specified period of time [column 9, lines 11-26].

As to claims 4, 12 and 21, Rohrl et al discloses the method further comprising:

receiving the authorization signal at the user terminal [column 9, lines 11-26];

restarting the timer to count for the specified period of time [column 9, lines 11-

26]; and

Application/Control Number: 09/901,421

Art Unit: 2131

permitting the user terminal to transmit information via the transmitter to the base station upon receipt of the authorization signal [column 9, lines 11-26].

Page 4

As to claims 5, 13 and 22, Rohrl et al discloses that permitting the user terminal to transmit information further comprises:

permitting the user terminal to transmit information via the transmitter to the base station upon receipt of the authorization signal until expiration of the specified period of time and non-receipt of a second authorization signal [column 9 line 66 to column 10 line 37].

As to claims 6, 14 and 23, Rohrl et al discloses that determining if the authorization signal has been received at the user terminal prior to the timer expiring at the specified period of time, further comprises:

providing a signal to disable the transmitter of the user terminal providing that the specified period of time on the timer has expired [column 11, lines 6-23]; and disabling the transmitter of the user terminal [column 11, lines 6-23].

As to claims 7, 15 and 24, Rohrl et al discloses that determining if the authorization signal has been received at the user terminal prior to the timer expiring at the specified period of time, further comprises:

permitting the transmission of information from the transmitter of the user terminal to the base station providing it is determined that a second authorization signal has not been received and the specified period of time on the timer has not expired [column 10, lines 38-62].

As to claim 8, Rohrl et al discloses a device for communicating with a base station of a communication system, the device comprising:

a signal detector that determines if an authorization signal has been received from the base station within a specified period of time for the transmission of the authorization signal, the authorization signal authorizing the device to communicate with the base station [column 9, lines 11-26];

a transmitter that transmits information to the base station [column 9, lines 11-26]; and

a controller that disables the transmitter of the device providing that the authorization signal has not been received within the specified period of time [column 9, lines 39-48].

As to claim 16, Rohrl et al discloses that the device and the base station communicate with each other over a radio communication channel [column 12 line 64 to column 13 line 17].

As to claim 18, Rohrl et al discloses an apparatus for authorizing a user terminal to communicate with a base station in a communication system, the user terminal including a transmitter for transmitting information to the base station, the method comprising:

determining if an authorization signal has been received at the user terminal within a specified period of time for the transmission of the authorization signal, the authorization signal authorizing the user terminal to communicate with the base station [column 9, lines 11-26]; and

disabling the transmitter of the user terminal providing that the authorization signal has not been received within the specified period of time [column 9, lines 39-48].

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 9 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohrl et al U.S. Patent No. 6,353,776 B1 as applied to claims 8 and 18 above, and further in view of Lambert U.S. Patent No. 5,642,380.

As to claim 9, Rohrl et al teaches means for determining and disabling, as discussed above

Rohrl et al does not teach that the device comprises a modem having a software component with software running thereon and a hardware component that includes the signal detector, transmitter, controller and means for determining and the means for disabling.

Lambert teaches a modem having a software component with software running thereon and a hardware component that includes the signal detector, transmitter, and controller [column 6, lines 8-26].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Rohrl et al so that the radio telephones would have included a modem that would have had a software component with software running thereon and a hardware component that includes the signal detector, transmitter, controller and means for determining and the means for disabling.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Rohrl et al by the teaching of Lambert because This is an advantage when a channel is to be shared with signals intended for human listening [column 6, lines 8-26].

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohrl et al U.S. Patent No. 6,353,776 B1 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Newton's Telecom Dictionary (hereinafter Newton).

As to claim 17, Rohrl et al does not teach that the device and the base station communicate with each other in accordance with a Global system for Mobile Communications (GSM) protocol.

Newton teaches the Global system for Mobile Communications (GSM) protocol and its benefits [page 350].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Rohrl et al so that the radio telephones would have communicated with the base station/control station using the Global system for Mobile Communications (GSM) protocol.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Rohrl et al by the teaching of Newton because GSM ensures interoperability between countries, these ETSI standards address much of the network wireless infrastructure, including the radio interface (900 MHz), switching, signaling and intelligent network [page 350].

Application/Control Number: 09/901,421

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aravind K Moorthy May 1, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8